## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

KANSAS CITY CEMENT MASON PENSION FUND, et al.,	S	)
vs.	Plaintiffs,	) ) No. 4:16-cv-00043-DGK
MCCARTER SPECIALTIES, LLC,		)
	Defendant	)

# ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT LIABLE TO PLAINTIFFS ON COUNTS I-IV AND ORDERING DEFENDANT TO PERMIT AN ACCOUNTING FOR DAMAGES

After Defendant McCarter Specialties, LLC, failed to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint, the Clerk entered default against it. Plaintiffs now move for a default judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b) (Doc. 4). For the reasons set forth below, the motion is GRANTED IN PART.

### **Background**

Plaintiffs in this action are four trust funds ("the Funds") established as employee benefit plans pursuant to a trust agreement between the Builders Association of Missouri (the "Association") and Cement Masons Local Union No. 518 of the Operative Plasterers and Cement Masons International Association, AFL-CIO (the "Union"). The remaining Plaintiffs are David Kirkpatrick and Erica Jenkins, acting trustees of each of the Funds.

According to the Complaint, Defendant employed cement masons who were Union members, and Defendant agreed to be bound by the trust agreement and certain other collective bargaining agreements (collectively, the "Agreements") between the Association and the Union. At issue are three obligations of Defendant under the Agreements. First, Defendant agreed to pay and contribute to the Fund various sums per hour for each employee covered by and subject to the Agreements. Second, Defendant agreed to submit regular written reports detailing the

names, hours worked, and amounts contributed for each employee covered by the Agreements. Finally, Defendant agreed to allow Plaintiffs to examine, inspect, and audit Defendant's books and records to ensure that Defendant's obligations under the Agreements are being faithfully performed.

Since April 1, 2011, Defendant has refused to comply with these obligations.

Plaintiffs sued Defendant under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). The Funds and their trustees seek money damages, including the unpaid fringe benefit contributions and interest. They seek an order requiring Defendant to: (1) make deposits in advance of work, enter into an escrow arrangement with Plaintiffs, or post a corporate bond guaranteeing performance under the Agreements; (2) maintain records sufficient to determine the benefits due to each employee; and (3) specifically perform all provisions of the Agreements with respect to the reporting and payment of fringe benefit contributions.

Plaintiffs served Defendant's registered agent, Mike McCarter (Doc. 3). When Defendant failed to respond to the complaint, Plaintiff moved for an entry of default against Defendant (Doc. 6). The Clerk entered default (Doc. 9), and the Court ordered Defendant to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against it (Doc. 10). Defendant failed to respond.

#### Standard

In considering a motion for default judgment, the court takes as true all allegations in the complaint that relate to liability. *Murray v. Lene*, 595 F.3d 868, 871 (8th Cir. 2010). The court does not take as true conclusions of law or factual allegations relating to damages. *Id.* The court may enter default judgment against a defendant if the resulting facts constitute a legitimate cause of action. *Id.* 

#### **Discussion**

In Counts I through IV, each fund sues Defendant for violating ERISA's plancontribution and recordkeeping provisions. In Counts V through VII, Plaintiffs pray for liquidated damages and interest in the amount of \$18,284.67.<sup>1</sup>

ERISA provides "strict remedies to give employers a strong incentive to honor their contractual obligations to contribute and to facilitate the collection of delinquent [ERISA plan] accounts." *Kern v. Goebel Fixture Co.*, 765 F.3d 871, 875 (8th Cir. 2014) (alteration in original). Specifically, an employer must make contributions to an employee pension benefit plan if required to do so by a collective bargaining agreement. 29 U.S.C. § 1145. Such an employer must maintain records on what benefits are due or may become due to such employees. *Id.* § 1059(a). An employer is civilly liable to a plan for violating any of these provisions. *Id.* § 1132(a)(3).

Here, Defendant was obligated to make fringe benefit contributions to each fund and submit reports detailing the required contributions. Defendant has not made these contributions or maintained records on the required contributions since April 1, 2011. This constitutes a violation of ERISA, and Defendant is liable to the Funds and their trustees on Counts I through IV. *See id.* §§ 1145, 1059(a).

Having found Defendant liable on Counts I through IV, the Court now must determine the appropriate relief. Upon default, a court may fix the amount to which plaintiff is lawfully entitled to recover "by taking evidence when necessary or by computation from facts of record." *Stephenson v. El-Batrawi*, 524 F.3d 907, 915 (8th Cir. 2008) (quoting *Pope v. United States*, 323

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Plaintiffs' prayers for relief are pled in the alternative, with Count V arising under ERISA's delinquent contribution provision, Count VI arising under ERISA's civil enforcement provision, and Count VII arising under the Labor Management Relations Act.

U.S. 1, 12 (1944)). The court may hold evidentiary hearings when necessary to conduct an

accounting or determine the amount of damages. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2)(A)-(B).

Here, Plaintiffs pray for liquidated damages and interest in the amount of \$18,284.67.

Because these allegations relate to damages, the Court does not take them as true for purposes of

entering default judgment. Murray, 595 F.3d at 871. Plaintiffs have not supported their

damages allegations with any evidence, and the Court cannot award damages based on the

Complaint alone. Therefore, the Court orders Defendant to permit an accounting by Plaintiffs.

After this accounting, the Court may hold an evidentiary hearing to determine the amount of

damages and the scope of injunctive relief.

**Conclusion** 

Plaintiffs' motion (Doc. 4) is GRANTED IN PART. The Court finds in favor of

Plaintiffs on Counts I through IV, and takes the remedies under advisement. Defendant is

ordered to permit an accounting by Plaintiffs of all business books and records relating to

Plaintiffs' claims from April 1, 2011, to the present.

Plaintiffs must file a status report regarding this accounting no later than September 30,

2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Greg Kays

GREG KAYS, CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: August 30, 2016

4

Case 4:16-cv-00043-DGK Document 12 Filed 08/30/16 Page 4 of 4